

CHAPTER 5

The Game of Your Name

Your Straw Man

“I knew who I was when I woke up this morning, but I must have changed several times since then” (Lewis Carroll in, *Alice in Wonderland*).

We are often told, “Anyone who represents himself has a fool for a client.” Few people understand what that statement really means though. They assume that the “client” is reference to them, and they are presumed to be “foolish” if they do not hire an “approved” attorney to speak for them because only a “trained” attorney could possibly do the job properly. This is what the attorneys want you to believe, and having been indoctrinated in attorney school, I suspect most of them believe it themselves.

“Why sometimes I believe as many as six impossible things before breakfast” (The Queen of Hearts in, *Alice in Wonderland*).

Baseball legend Yogi Berra was once asked by a teacher, “Don’t you know anything?” He replied:

“I don’t even *suspect* anything.”

An attorney is in fact,

“. . . an agent or substitute, or one who is appointed and authorized to act in the place or stead of another” (BLD 5).

According to *Black’s 5th*, “Represent” means:

“To represent a *person* is to stand in his place; to speak or act with authority on behalf of such *person* . . . to act as his substitute or agent.”

Take note of the word “person.” As explained in chapter four, “Legal Word-crafting,” a person is not necessarily a real flesh and blood man or woman; in fact, I doubt that he ever is in today’s judicial system. In that system, “Clients” are not flesh and blood people either.

Let’s review the word “*client*.”

“Clients are called “wards of the court” in regard to their relationship with their attorneys” (*Corpus Juris Secundum*, 1980 Section 4, RIL 2nd Ed., p. 99).

According to *Black’s 5th*,

“*Wards of the court*” are “*infants*” and “*persons of unsound mind*.”

The Scarecrow Straw Man in “The Wizard of Oz” was a “person of unsound mind” (see Appendix for an interesting interpretation of that story). One of the legal definitions of the word “person” is *an artificial entity, a fiction*. These “fictions” are actually the fools referred to in the

before mentioned “fool for a client” statement. If you choose to remain ignorant of what I am about to explain, you will be considered just as foolish as your Straw Man counterpart by those who desire to rule over you.

According to *Black’s 7th Law Dictionary*, “Artificial Person” means:

“An entity (such as a corporation) created by law and given certain legal rights and duties of a human being, real or imaginary, who for the purpose of legal reasoning is treated more or less as a human being.”

Black’s 6th reveals that a Straw Man is:

“A front, a third party who is put up in name only to take part in a transaction in name only.”

Black’s 5th defines a Straw Man as a:

“Person who purchases property for another *to conceal identity* of real purchaser.”

For example: Let’s say you would like to buy some land from a neighbor to add to your privacy. Perhaps you are concerned that someone else might purchase it and put up a high-rise office building that will spoil your view. The problem is, your neighbor doesn’t like you for some reason, and you are concerned that he might refuse to sell you the property, or raise the price above what you can afford.

You determine that the best course of action would be to ask your best friend Sue to buy the property for you, figuring that the neighbor will never learn of her connection to you. You are going to leave the land in its natural state, so who’s to know? You can even leave it in Sue’s name if you want. Okay, Sue, acting as a Straw Man, makes the purchase. Your neighbor doesn’t have a clue, you don’t have to face him, and everyone is happy.

There were three parties involved here: You, the neighbor, and Sue, acting as the Straw Man, who bought the property. Your neighbor, however, believes that there were only two parties involved in the deal. He didn’t know about you, or that Sue was really functioning as a Straw Man.

Now, consider a twist on the above scenario, and this time it is a true story. Again, there are three parties: You, any government, or commercial agent that you encounter, and the Straw Man. But this time *you* are the ignorant victim; that is, if you don’t know anything about the Straw Man; and he *is* present—*always*.

Allow me to introduce you to this “person.” You really need to meet this guy. He has been used to trap you in all sorts of legal entanglements over the course of your entire life. He was created at the time you were born, and in a legal sense, this “person” (fictions of course have no gender) has been following you around wherever you go, like an invisible twin. I am certain you didn’t learn about him while attending the government fool system did you? Once you understand how this person is being used against you, you might want to learn how to get control of your relationship with this troublemaker.

Government agents use this Straw Man to trick you into “taking part in a deal” throughout the whole of your life, and what a *deal* it is. All dealings that the government has with you are done in the name of this fictional entity, which is identified by an all capital letter version of your own

given name. Your true name appears with only the initial letters capitalized. The all-capital letters version is the name of your mirror image, corporate in nature, Straw Man, and he is an entirely different person in the eyes of judges.

One reason this trick has been so successful is that an all-capital letters name sounds exactly like a true name *when spoken*. This convenient phenomenon has no particular significance in our society, until you begin signing agreements, or enter a courtroom. When they address you in a courtroom they are *always* referring to this corporate (in nature) name, and you have no way of knowing which “person” they are referring to. A judge might call out your name in one language, legalese, reading from the legal documents in front of him, and you, in ignorance of the deception, answer in another, English. The judge, referring to the Straw Man, might say:

“DUDLEY D. DORIGHT, do you know anything about the matter before us this day?”

If the flesh-and-blood man, Dudley Does Doright, supposing that the judge is referring to him, answers, “Yes, I certainly do your honor,” they *presume* and feel justified in assuming that he is standing in as a surety for the “juristic person” identified by the all-capital letter title: DUDLEY D. DORIGHT.

The English language and its accepted rules of grammar make no accommodation for proper nouns to be written in all-capital letters. Bureaucrats will often tell you that their computers cannot spell your name in upper and lower case letters. That is probably true, but not because they have stupid computers. They are programmed that way so ignorant bureaucrats won’t make the mistake of identifying the real flesh and blood man or woman, contrary to their bosses wishes. These devils like to feel justified when deceiving us.

Incidentally, be careful of using a letter in place of spelling out your middle name.

“A letter put between the Christian and surname, as an abbreviation of a part of the Christian name, as, John B. Peterson, is no part of either” (*Bouvier’s Law Dictionary, Sixth Edition, 1856*).

When you represent yourself in our modern day judicial system, you are not representing your true self, the flesh and blood man or woman. You are considered to be representing quite another “person,” i.e., your Straw Man, and you are at a very serious disadvantage if you do not understand this fact. Later in the chapter titled, “My Trial,” you will see how desperate the *de facto* judge was in his attempt to get me to say that I was going to “represent” myself. He wanted me to have a fool for a client and make this fiction real. You will see how I confounded him in his wicked design.

Your Straw Man is a distinct legal entity. It is sometimes referred to as a *cestui que* trust, French for “he who trusts.” It is a “constructive trust,” supposedly “constructed” by operation of law, but this is nonsense. Such a trust is really only the “appearance” of a trust. It looks as if it is a trust, but has no creator/trustor/granter/settlor, the flesh-and-blood being normally responsible for bringing a trust into being.

More commonly, your Straw Man is referred to as a juristic person. It is treated as a separate legal entity, like a corporation, though it is only a corporate name. It benefits the creator, i.e. the U.S. Government, because the creator can then accomplish things in the name of the Straw Man that

would not otherwise be permitted, such as secretly acquire property, do business with one's enemies, and—deprive us of our rights.

“From the earliest times the law has enforced rights and exacted liabilities by utilizing a corporate concept—by recognizing, that is, juristic persons other than human beings. The theories by which this mode of legal operation has developed, has been justified, qualified, and defined are the subject matter of a very sizable library. The historic roots of a particular society, economic pressures, philosophic notions, all have had their share in the law's response to the ways of men in carrying on their affairs through what is now the familiar device of the corporation. — Attribution of legal rights and duties to a juristic person other than man is necessarily a metaphorical process. And none the worse for it. No doubt, ‘*Metaphors in law are to be narrowly watched*’” (Cardoza, J., in *Berkey v. Third Avenue R. Co.*, 244 N.Y. 84, 94).

“But all instruments of thought *should be narrowly watched* lest they be abused and fail in their service to reason” (U.S. v. SCOPHONY CORP. OF AMERICA, 333 U.S. 795; 68 S. Ct. 855; 1948 U.S.).

The term Straw Man is also used in commercial and property contexts when a transfer is made to a third party, the Straw Man,

“. . . simply for the purpose of retransferring to the transferor in order to accomplish some other purpose *not otherwise permitted*” (*Barron's Law Dictionary 3rd Ed*).

One “purpose not otherwise permitted” is “extraction of income tax from a sovereign,” i.e. you—something for which you would not otherwise give consent. All income is “corporate income,” and the Straw Man is a dummy public corporation. Because the Straw Man is registered (birth certificate), and because most people can't seem to find work without using his labor license (Social Security Card), and you cannot have a bank account except through his serial number (Social Security Account Number), taxes are relatively easily monitored, assessed, and collected. All claims made against you, both civil and criminal, are instituted in your Straw Man's TRADE NAME, which is held in custody by the State Registrar in the State in which you were born.

One of the reasons this is such an advantage to these conspirators is because legal fictions don't have rights, only privileges that their government agents can rule on. They seem to be dealing with you, but legally they are not. When you are offered a presentment, such as a traffic ticket, and if you sign it as if you were really the party being presented, in a legal sense, you are duped into becoming the surety or accommodation party for this legal fiction, which, in most cases, they control. All licenses, permits, bank accounts, credit cards, tax returns, pay checks, even utility bills are in your Straw Man's fictitious name. When you sign a traffic citation, or a promissory note for a mortgage you are the accommodation endorser. Your Straw Man is the accommodated party. You unknowingly admit that you are the fictional entity in every commercial transaction you take part in, and that includes every dealing you have with government. It is a sinister back door method used to avoid acknowledging our rights. We, the unfortunate counterparts of these straw men, are taken along for the ride as the supposed surety, co-surety, accommodation party and collateral for this fiction.

Standing

Another important key to understand is the principle of Standing. Lets say you had a complaint about how the modern day courtroom wizards are treating gun owners. You saddle up your favorite horse and gallop over to the Supreme Court building to voice your complaint. Now, what are they going to say to you? “Get out of here you idiot. You don’t have any ‘Standing’ here.” In other words, “You don’t have any ‘business’ here. You were never ‘charged’ with having possession of a gun, and you haven’t gone through the lower court process before coming here, not that we would ‘accept’ your complaint anyway, as we do not want to provide a ruling which might support your belief that you do in fact have a right to keep and bear arms.”

Now this is all very logical, and necessary for a smooth running judicial process, but in today’s courtrooms, *the reason you don’t have any ‘standing’ is because you are not the ‘person’ who was ‘charged.’ The Straw Man has no rights they need to concern themselves with violating, and you, the flesh and blood man or woman, have no ‘business’ there, so you can be ignored at will.*

Birth Registration

There is evidence that these “artificial persons” originated as a result of “The Maternity Act of 1921.” This “Act” was supposed to help Mothers, and for “other purposes.” One of these “other purposes” was Birth Registration.

Now, prior to this deceitful act, Mothers did a pretty good job of keeping track of their children, and I doubt that any of them believed that they needed any government assistance with this responsibility. There are, no doubt, some moral degenerates in our society who could use some assistance keeping track of *their* children. I have heard it said that some men have more concern over the breeding of their dogs than they do of their own children, and some women obviously have the same disregard, however, assistance with *their* problem would have to be of a religious, not political nature. Regardless, that was not what this Maternity Act was about at all.

Looking up the word “Birth” reveals an interesting point, admitted in *Black’s 1st*:

Birth: “The act of being born *or* wholly brought into separate existence.”

“A man or a woman is ‘born.’ *Straw men are ‘wholly brought into separate existence.’* Each event qualifies as a birth. The Birth Certificate documents a muddled mixture of the two events that allows the system to both claim that it is ‘your’ birth certificate yet also claim to hold title to (not ownership of) the corporately colored Straw Man” (RIL 2nd Ed., p. 93).

Black’s 1st reveals other interesting and related points. Under “birth record,” the definition refers to “persons birth,” While under “birth certificate” the definition refers to “one’s birth,” and under the word “one” we discover that this means the flesh-and-blood man or woman. “Person” again, means the artificial or juristic person (Reference to this is also found in RIL 2nd., p. 94).

A birth certificate is only a “certificate of title” to your Straw Man, and confirms only that the issuer of the certificate held the actual title at the time of registration. The issuer may have since assigned or sold the original birth document. A receipt of the kind described in subdivision (2) of section 7-201 is a warehouse receipt. Therefore, a birth certificate—a document of title—is a warehouse receipt (See UCC 7-202). The bankers presently hold title. You are the collateral.

“The contemporary certificate issued by a state or county government is not the original, however. It is merely a certified token of the real thing, which is held by the issuer” (RIL p. 28).

All is not lost, however. The banker’s claim of a security interest in everything associated with your Straw Man, by way of your Birth Record, is by presumption only. There never was a bona fide contract, as there was not full disclosure, a vital element to a valid contract.

Negotiable Instrument?

Many would be surprised to learn that ***a Birth Certificate is a negotiable instrument***. In America the original Birth Document is generally created at the county level (sometimes city level) via Birth documents from the hospital (for which the hospital receives \$4,000 from the county for causing the registration of the birth) and passed to the state and federal levels. It is believed that these are passed on to the U.S. Dept. of Commerce (which is probably paying the County), and there is evidence that they are on file there, but it seems that they are filed under an unknown name. Some suggest that they may be kept in Puerto Rico, which of course is District of Columbia territory (RIL 2nd Ed., pp. 28, 93).

Some years ago a man in Santa Barbara, California managed to obtain his original birth record document from the Department of Commerce by way of a Freedom of Information Act request. He reported endorsements of seventeen different foreign countries on the document. There may also be other types of birth documents used by the U.S. Government, and others, to obtain loans of credit (CC3 p. 107).

Your Birth Certificate is one kind of security instrument used by the US Government to obtain loans from its creditor, the Federal Reserve System (RIL 2nd Ed., p. 93). Many who have studied this say that back in 1933 these were determined to be worth \$630,000.00, which was supposedly based upon the value of the future labor of each person. By the late 1930s the value had risen to \$650,000.

Supposedly, upon notification of the receipt of a new Certificate of Live Birth at the Department of Commerce, the Department of the Treasury takes out a loan from the Fed for \$1 million (current determined value), and purchases a bond from the Department of Commerce, which then invests the funds in either the stock market or bond market. These certificates are bundled together into sets and placed as securities on the open market where they are then purchased by the Federal Reserve and/or foreign bankers. The purchaser is the “holder” of the “Title.” This process makes every person in this jurisdiction a bond servant.

“They take your birth certificate and put a bond with it, which puts you in the warehouse of the federal corporation. That allowed the corporate U.S. government to create the credit they needed to lend to the Federal Reserve Bank. Your Straw Man was made a ward of the State” (Rice McCloud in, *Tax Truth News*, January 1, 2002 p. 2).

These Straw Men are, at least in a legal sense, the property of the system, because they were created by them, and most of us have not made a contrary claim. The problem with this situation is, if they elect to fine, enslave, or kill the abstract Straw Man, then you, the physical biological being, suffer the consequences in reality.

You Could Blame your Mom

The problem is that your Mother, and your legal guardian until you reached the age of eighteen, unwittingly surrendered possession of this corporate NAME when she endorsed the original document (RIL 2nd Ed., p. 28).

“An ‘Information’ is an accusation exhibited against a person for a criminal offense, without an indictment. The birth certificate fulfills all the legal definitions of an information. On some birth certificates the signatory is listed as ‘Informer’ or ‘Informant,’ a term that carries criminal implications. Legally, a newborn is an undocumented enemy of the state, a public enemy, according to the Trading With the Enemy Act of October 6, 1917. Any such informer (usually the mother) is ‘informing’ on—i.e. lodging a formal complaint/accusation by deposition and identification of the baby. If an all-capital letters TRADE NAME appears on the document, the informer may also ‘prefer an accusation’ that the name, as inscribed, is attached with the infant associated therewith. This can serve as the basis for any subsequent insistence that the all-capital letters TRADE NAME directly concerns you, the flesh and blood man or woman” (CC3 pp. 137–137).

Were You Delivered?

Consider the word “Delivery.” We are supposed to think this means to deliver an infant into the world, or, the act of being born. However, in legalize, it might be interpreted a bit differently (Are you surprised?). Regarding instruments, documents of title, chattel paper or certified securities, it means “voluntary transfer of possession.”

Delivery: “The act by which the res or substance thereof is placed within the actual or constructive possession or control of another” (BLD 5).

In the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC) we discover it is a voluntary transfer of one’s possessions to another.

Delivery: Commercial law: Delivery with respect to instruments, documents of title, chattel paper or securities *means voluntary transfer of possession*” (UCC 1-201(14) (BLD 5).

“Where actual delivery would be cumbersome or impossible, the courts will find a *constructive delivery* sufficient, provided the intention is clearly to transfer title” (*Barron’s Law Dictionary, 3rd Ed., 1991*).

Did your mother “voluntarily” though most likely, unknowingly “deliver” you into the warehouse district or county as just another “human resource?” Most likely, yes. That is what the Zionist bankers (see chapter end-note) consider us, “Goyim” (cattle) or, “Human resources.” A delivery occurs when mothers pledge, or transfer title of the Straw Man of the newborn sovereign child to the U.S. Government by written endorsement, receiving in return a birth certificate—warehouse receipt—as evidence of said delivery/pledge/deposit/transfer. The UCC authorizes your mother to do this as your representative under section 3-402. Don’t be too hard on Mom though. She would never have done this to you knowingly, and remember, it wasn’t you she “delivered.” She didn’t know about the Straw Man either.

The Bankruptcy Connection

When the United States declared bankruptcy in 1933, Roosevelt called all the governors of the States together and said, in effect, “We have a bankrupt nation here. In order to get out of this we have to do something that is illegal, but we can get by with it if we do it unanimously.” The illegal act was mortgaging the future income of each State, meaning the Citizens of the States (We the people). The governors all went along with this reasoning, and administrative courts were created to enforce the illegal process. These courts function on the basis of statutes and codes, outside the realm of private sovereignty, rights, and the Constitution (Conference of Governors, March 6, 1933, RIL 2nd Ed., pp. 127, 180).

The conspiring bankers knew it wouldn’t take long to bankrupt the country when they began trading their worthless Federal Reserve Notes (FRNs) for our gold. They had prepared for this next stage in their plans long in advance. After we had been conned out of our right to pay debts with gold (substance), and hence our sovereignty, there had to be something else to back the currency. The Federal Reserve gave Congress an ultimatum to come up with collateral for the debt, and the bankrupt U.S. Government presented the birth documents they had prepared from the legislation included in the “other purposes” of “The Maternity Act of 1921” mentioned previously.

“We know that the birth or naturalization record for every American since 1933 is on file with the U.S. Dept. of Commerce (They use them as collateral). We also know that the possessions and property of every American have been committed by Congress to back the national debt” (RIL 2nd Ed., p. XXIV).

Is the Straw Man Real?

I am occasionally asked by those who “don’t get it,” “Is the Strawman real?” I realize at that point that they will probably never understand, and if I replied, “Yes,” or “No,” it probably wouldn’t matter, and both would be correct answers.

One good response would be, “Do you believe Mickey Mouse is real?”

Perhaps my favorite response to that question is, “Not any more than General Motors—nor any less.”

In Summary

They fabricated a juristic mirror-image name from our true name (transmitting utility), and inscribed it on our Birth Certificate. They used the certificate as a negotiable document to title (a security) to the newly created Straw Man and hypothecated (a pledge or mortgage) it to the Federal Reserve in exchange for credit. In return, they gave us transmitting-utility “benefits” in exchange for use of our property (the Straw Man’s name), thereby hooking us into the cycle and obligating us, our labor, and our property for the “loan,” all without our knowledge or consent. In other words, ***the bankrupt Federal Government has hypothecated everything you own and ever can own, including your labor, to the Federal Reserve in exchange for credit. All wealth of the nation was “legally” transferred from the people to the Government.***

They consider us to be sureties for the debt (A Surety is one who has contracted himself to be answerable for the debt, default, or miscarriage of another). We were sold into slavery without ever realizing it. People were converted into chattel property, with no more rights than animals,

and juristic-name straw men were “wholly brought into separate existence” on our Birth Certificates. The political industrial society was then set up to run strictly via straw men and has ever since.

The Straw Man deception is the foundational legal device used to control not only America, but also the population of the entire world. Who would have dreamed that the devil would wrap the world in his awful chains using commerce, corporations, and all-capital letter names?

“Before we wake, we cannot know that what we see in a dream does not exist”
(Caine, Episode 4, *Kung Fu*).

Note: Regarding the term “Zionist” referred to previously under the subtitle: “Were You Delivered?”

Zionism: A worldwide movement, originating in the 19th century, that sought to establish and develop a Jewish nation State in Palestine. Since 1948 its function has been to support the State of Israel.

They are a dark force however—outrageous liars and admitted devil worshipers, who believe they are racially superior to all other people who they consider to be nothing more than “Goyim,” or farm animals for their use and harvesting.

They pretend to be Jews, but most are not, except by claim, as a result of a forced religion conversion. Most are actually Khazar’s, East Asian Huns, quite possibly infiltrated by Edomites who are without question, committed to do everything in their power to destroy Christianity and God’s covenant people, the *true* House of Israel.